

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION**

Cinda Barnes,)	Case No. <u>CA 3:21-3548-JFA</u>
)	(formerly Civil Action No. 2021CP4004886)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	DEFENDANT'S LOCAL RULE 26.01
)	INTERROGATORY RESPONSES
Target Corporation, John Doe 1 and)	
John Doe 2,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

TO: THE UNITED STATES COURT:

Under the provisions of Local Rule 26.01, Defendant, Target Corporation, responds to the Court's Rule 26.01 Interrogatories as follows:

A. State the full name, address and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of said interest.

ANSWER: The Defendant knows of no subrogation interest involved in this case.

B. As to each claim, state whether it should be tried jury or non-jury and why.

ANSWER: The Defendant asserts that this case should be tried jury.

C. State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly owned company and separately identify: (1) each publicly owned company of which it is a parent, subsidiary, partner, or affiliate; (2) each publicly owned company which owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares or other indicia of ownership of the party; and (3) each publicly owned company in which the party owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares.

ANSWER: The Defendant is a publicly owned company.

D. State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division).

ANSWER: Plaintiff filed this case in this division and Defendant does not object.

E. Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this District, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide: (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases which *may be* related regardless of whether they are still pending. Whether cases *are* related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the Clerk of Court based on a determination of whether the cases: arise from the same or identical transactions, happenings or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.¹

ANSWER: Defendant is not aware of any related case pending in the District of South Carolina.

F. If the defendant is improperly identified, give the proper identification and state whether counsel will accept service of an amended summons and pleading reflecting the correct identification.

ANSWER: Defendant is properly identified.

G. If you contend that some other person or legal entity is, in whole or in part, liable to you or the other party asserting a claim against you in this matter, identify such person or entity and describe the basis of said liability.

ANSWER: Defendant is unaware at this time of any other legal entity liable to it or the party asserting this claim; however, Defendant reserves the right to amend this

response at a later date should the discovery in this case reveal any other parties who may be liable to the Defendant or the Plaintiff in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

SWEENEY, WINGATE & BARROW, P.A.

s/ Mark S. Barrow

Mark S. Barrow, Federal ID No.: 1220

Ryan C. Holt, Federal ID No.: 10736

1515 Lady Street

Post Office Box 12129

Columbia, South Carolina 29211

(803) 256-2233

ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT

Columbia, South Carolina

October 29, 2021